

## GUIA DOCENTE DE LA ASIGNATURA

**TÉCNICAS DE EVALUACIÓN NEUROPSICOLÓGICA EN PSICOLOGÍA JURÍDICA Y FORENSE**

MÓDULO	MATERIA	ASIGNATURA	CURSO	SEMESTRE	CRÉDITOS	CARÁCTER					
PRÁCTICO	X		2016-17	1º	3	OBLIGATORIO					
<b>PROFESOR</b>		<b>DIRECCIÓN COMPLETA DE CONTACTO PARA TUTORÍAS (Dirección postal, teléfono, correo electrónico, etc.)</b>									
Dra. Raquel Vilar López.		Facultad de Psicología (despacho 386), Campus de la Cartuja s/n, 18071. Tlf: 958 242 948 Correo electrónico: <a href="mailto:rvilar@ugr.es">rvilar@ugr.es</a>									
		<b>HORARIO DE TUTORÍAS</b>									
		Primer cuatrimestre: Lunes de 9 a 15h. Segundo cuatrimestre: Concertar horario vía email.									
<b>MÁSTER EN EL QUE SE IMPARTE</b>		<b>OTROS MÁSTERES A LOS QUE SE PODRÍA OFERTAR</b>									
Máster Oficial de Psicología Jurídica y Forense											
<b>PRERREQUISITOS Y/O RECOMENDACIONES (si procede)</b>											
- Se recomienda haber cursado en el grado la asignatura Neuropsicología Clínica. Un adecuado conocimiento del idioma inglés facilitará la adquisición de conocimientos a lo largo de este curso.											
<b>BREVE DESCRIPCIÓN DE CONTENIDOS (SEGÚN MEMORIA DE VERIFICACIÓN DEL MÁSTER)</b>											
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Los principios de la evaluación neuropsicológica y su aplicación al ámbito jurídico-forense.</li> <li>- Aplicación de técnicas e instrumentos de evaluación neuropsicológica en las distintas áreas cognitivas (índices de simulación, pruebas específicas para la detección de simulación, etc.).</li> <li>- Poblaciones más frecuentemente evaluadas en el ámbito de la neuropsicología forense (tanto en el contexto civil como penal).</li> <li>- Resultados de la evaluación neuropsicológica forense.</li> <li>- Análisis de casos prácticos de modo crítico.</li> <li>- Elaboración de informes forenses y en la defensa de sus conclusiones ante los jurados populares y ante los profesionales de la justicia.</li> </ul>											



## **COMPETENCIAS GENERALES Y ESPECÍFICAS DEL MÓDULO**

### **GENERALES**

CG1. Adquirir conocimientos aplicados, avanzados y especializados en un cuerpo de conocimientos conceptuales, procedimentales, técnicos y actitudinales que permitan a los estudiantes desenvolverse en el ámbito de la Psicología Jurídica y Forense.

CG3. Adquirir una actitud de actuación profesional a partir del respeto y la consideración de los principios y valores propios de la Psicología Jurídica-Forense, así como del Código Deontológico de la profesión.

CG4. Adquirir la capacidad para tomar decisiones de un modo crítico sobre la aplicación e interpretación de los resultados derivados de los procedimientos de evaluación e intervención psicológica forense.

### **ESPECÍFICAS**

CE4. Aprender las teorías, interpretaciones y métodos en psicometría y análisis de datos.

CE5. Saber realizar el diseño y la realización de evaluaciones mediante el adecuado uso de test y cuestionarios Psicológicos

CE13. Aplicar e interpretar las técnicas e instrumentos de evaluación neuropsicológica en las distintas áreas cognitivas y comportamentales.

CE14. Adquirir habilidades relacionadas con la elaboración de informes periciales, intervenciones específicas y otros aspectos pertinentes, relacionados con el ejercicio profesional.

CE15. Adquirir conocimientos aplicados en relación a casos prácticos, de diversa índole, en los diferentes ámbitos de la Psicología Jurídica y Forense.

### **TRANSVERALES**

CT3. Ser capaz de divulgar y comunicar de forma eficaz, entre otros, a destinatarios, profesionales, responsables institucionales y población general, los resultados de las diferentes fases del proceso de evaluación e intervención.

## **OBJETIVOS (EXPRESADOS COMO RESULTADOS ESPERABLES DE LA ENSEÑANZA)**

### ***El alumno sabrá/comprenderá:***

- Los conocimientos aplicados, avanzados y especializados en un cuerpo de conocimientos conceptuales, procedimentales, técnicos y actitudinales que permitan a los estudiantes desenvolverse en el ámbito de la Psicología Jurídica y Forense.
- Las teorías, interpretaciones y métodos en psicometría y análisis de datos.
- Realizar el diseño y la realización de evaluaciones mediante el adecuado uso de test y cuestionarios Psicológicos.
- Aplicar e interpretar las técnicas e instrumentos de evaluación neuropsicológica en las distintas áreas cognitivas y comportamentales.
- Elaborar informes periciales, realizar intervenciones específicas y otros aspectos pertinentes, relacionados con el ejercicio profesional.
- Los conocimientos aplicados en relación a casos prácticos en los diferentes ámbitos de la Psicología Jurídica y Forense.



*ugr*

Universidad  
de Granada

- Divulgar y comunicar de forma eficaz, entre otros, a destinatarios, profesionales, responsables institucionales y población general, los resultados de las diferentes fases del proceso de evaluación e intervención.

***El alumno será capaz de:***

- Actuar profesionalmente a partir del respeto y la consideración de los principios y valores propios de la Psicología Jurídica-Forense, así como del Código Deontológico de la profesión.
- Tomar decisiones de un modo crítico sobre la aplicación e interpretación de los resultados derivados de los procedimientos de evaluación e intervención psicológica forense.

**TEMARIO DETALLADO DE LA ASIGNATURA**

Tema 1: Introducción: Una aproximación científica a la Neuropsicología Forense.

- 1.1. Objetivos y limitaciones de la Neuropsicología Forense
- 1.2. Metodología de investigación en Neuropsicología Forense
- 1.3. Prevalencia, sensibilidad y especificidad, valor predictivo positivo y negativo
- 1.4. La formación del Neuropsicólogo Forense
- 1.5. La relación abogados/neuropsicólogos
- 1.6. Cuestiones éticas
- 1.7. Introducción a la evaluación de la simulación
- 1.8. Neuroimagen funcional en Neuropsicología Forense

Tema 2: Aspectos forenses de la Evaluación Neuropsicológica.

- 2.1. Traumatismo Craneoencefálico pediátrico
- 2.2. Traumatismo Craneoencefálico leve
- 2.3. Traumatismo Craneoencefálico moderado y severo
- 2.4. Daño neurotóxico
- 2.5. Competencias civiles en adultos con demencia
- 2.6. Neuropsicología Forense criminal

Tema 3: Aplicación e interpretación de instrumentos en Neuropsicología Forense (I y II).

3.1. Pruebas específicas de simulación:

- VSVT
- TOMM
- Dot Counting Test
- Test de la b
- Test de los 15-ítems de Rey

3.2. Pruebas no específicas:

- Test de la Figura Compleja de Rey
- Test de atención d2
- Fluencia: FAS/ Animales y Frutas
- Letras y Números
- Cambios
- Stroop



-TAVEC

3.3. Elaboración y defensa del informe neuropsicológico forense.

#### BIBLIOGRAFÍA

Ver anexo de la Guía Docente.

#### ENLACES RECOMENDADOS

Búsquedas bibliográficas: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/>

APA División 40 (Neuropsicología Clínica): <http://www.apa.org/about/division/div40.aspx>

APA división 41 (Psicología Forense): <http://www.apadivisions.org/division-41/>

Guías Psicología Forense de la APA: <http://www.apa.org/practice/guidelines/forensic-psychology.aspx>

#### METODOLOGÍA DOCENTE

La materia utiliza una metodología de enseñanza-aprendizaje activa y participativa, compuesta principalmente por:

- Método expositivo / Clase magistral.
- Resolución de problemas (ejercicios y/o casos prácticos).
- Aprendizaje cooperativo (realización de trabajos individuales o grupales).
- Búsqueda, estudio y análisis bibliográfico.
- Aprendizaje orientado a proyectos.

Las actividades formativas propuestas guardan relación con las competencias especificadas en el master y son la siguientes:

ACTIVIDADES FORMATIVAS.	Horas	Presencialidad %
Clases teóricas.	10	100
Clases prácticas	15	100
Elaboración de trabajos (individuales y/o en grupo).	20	0
Preparación exposiciones.	5	
Presentación y evaluación de trabajos.	3	100
Actividades de estudio.	20	0
Tutorías.	2	100

#### EVALUACIÓN (INSTRUMENTOS DE EVALUACIÓN, CRITERIOS DE EVALUACIÓN Y PORCENTAJE SOBRE LA CALIFICACIÓN FINAL, ETC.)

El sistema de evaluación se basa en la **Normativa reguladora de los estudios de máster universitario de la Universidad de Granada** (Aprobado en la sesión extraordinaria de Consejo de Gobierno de 18 de mayo de 2015) y en la **Normativa de evaluación y de calificación de los estudiantes de la Universidad de Granada** (Aprobado en la sesión extraordinaria del Consejo de Gobierno de 20 de mayo de 2013).



Criterios	Puntuación
Asistencia.	1
Examen escrito (prueba objetiva tipo test y de respuesta corta)	5
Presentación de trabajos y/o proyectos.	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>10 puntos</b>

**Nota.** En virtud del cumplimiento de la Ley Orgánica de Protección de Datos de Carácter Personal (L.O. 15/1999, de 13 de diciembre) aquel alumno que no desee que sus calificaciones sean publicadas en el tablón, deberá ponerlo en conocimiento al profesor de la asignatura a través del correo institucional de la UGR.

#### INFORMACIÓN ADICIONAL

## ANEXO: BIBLIOGRAFÍA

- Ackerman, M.J. (2006). Forensic Report Writing. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 62, 59-72.
- Allen, L.M., Iverson, G.L., & Green, P. (2002). Computerized Assessment of Response Bias in forensic neuropsychology. *Journal of Forensic Neuropsychology*, 3, 205-225.
- Arnold, G., Boone, K.B., Lu, P., Dean, A., Wen, J., Nitch, S., & McPherson, S. (2005). Sensitivity and specificity of Finger Tapping Test scores for the detection of suspect effort. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 19, 105-120.
- Ashendorf, L., Constantinou, M., & McCaffrey, R.J. (2004). The effect of depression and anxiety on the TOMM in community-dwelling older adults. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 19, 125-130.
- Ashendorf, L., O'Bryant, S.E., & McCaffrey, R.J. (2003). Specificity of malingering detection strategies in older adults using the CVLT and WCST. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 17, 255-262.
- Audenaert, K., Jansen, H.M.L., Otte, A., Peremans, K., Vervaet, M., Crombez, R., et al. (2003). Imaging if mild traumatic brain injury using  $^{57}\text{Co}$  and  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  HMPAO SPECT as compared to other diagnostic procedures. *Medical Science Monitor*, 9, MT112-117
- Bauer, L., & McCaffrey, R.J. (2006). Coverage of the Test of Memory Malingering, Victoria Symptom Validity Test, and Word Memory Test on the Internet : Is test security threatened ? *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 21, 121-126.
- Bender, S.D., & Rogers, R. (2004). Detection of neurocognitive feigning: development of a multi-strategy assessment. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 19, 49-60.
- Bianchini, K.J., Greve, K.W., & Love, J.M. (2003). Definite Malingered Neurocognitive Dysfunction in moderate/severe traumatic brain injury. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 17, 574-580.
- Bianchini, K.J., Mathias, C.W., & Greve, K.W. (2001). Symptom Validity Testing: A critical review. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 15, 19-45.
- Bianchini, K.J., Mathias, C.W., Greve, K.W., Houston, R.J., & Crouch, J.A. (2001). Classification accuracy of the Portland Digit Recognition Test in traumatic brain injury. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 15, 461-470.
- Bigler, E.D. (2006). Can author bias be determined in forensic neuropsychology research published in Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology? *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 21, 503-508.
- Blostein, P., & Jones, S.J. (2003). Identification and evaluation of patients with mild traumatic brain injury: Results of a national survey of Level I trauma centers. *The Journal of Trauma Injury, Infection, and Critical Care*, 55, 450-453.
- Bolan, B., Foster, J.K., Schmand, B., & Bolan, S. (2002). A comparison of three tests to detect feigned amnesia: The effects of feedback and the measurement of response latency. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 24, 154-167.
- Boone, K., Lu, P., & Herzberg, D.S. (2002). *The b Test. Manual*. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services.
- Boone, K.B., Lu, P., Back, C., King, C., Lee, A., Philpott, L., Shamieh, E., & Warner-Chacon K. (2002). Sensitivity and specificity of the Rey Dot Counting Test in patients with suspect effort and various clinical samples. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 17, 625-642.
- Boone, K.B., Lu, P., Sherman, D., Palmer, B., Back, C., Shamieh, E., Warner-Chacon, K., & Berman, N.G. (2000). Validation of a new technique to detect malingering of cognitive symptoms: The b Test. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 15, 227-241.

- Bush, S.S., & NAN Policy & Planning Committee (2005). Independent and court-ordered forensic neuropsychological examinations: Official statement of the National Academy of Neuropsychology. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 20, 997-1007.
- Bush, S.S., Ruff, R.M., Tröster, A.I., Barth, J.T., Koffler, S.P., Pliskin, N-H., Reynolds, C.R., & Silver, C.H. (2005). Symptom validity assessment: Practice issues and medical necessity. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 20, 419-426.
- Cassidy, J.D., Caroll, L.J., Cote, P., Lernstra, M., Berglund, A., & Nygren, H. (2000). Effect of eliminating compensation for pain and suffering on the outcome of insurance claims for whiplash. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 342, 1179-1186.
- Cato, M.A., Brewster, J., Ryan, T., & Giuliano, A.J. (2002). Coaching and the ability to simulate mild traumatic brain injury symptoms. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 16, 524-535.
- Constantinou, M., Bauer, L., Ashendorf, L., Fisher, F.M., & McCaffrey, R.J. (2005). Is poor performance on recognition memory effort measures indicative of generalized poor performance on neuropsychological tests? *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 20, 191-198.
- Constantinou, M., & McCaffrey, R.J., (2003). Using the TOMM to evaluate children's effort to perform optimally on neuropsychological measures. *Child Neuropsychology*, 9, 81-90.
- Curtis, K.L., Greve, K.W., Bianchini, K.J., & Brennan, A. (2006). California Verbal Learning Test indicators of malingered neurocognitive dysfunction. Sensitivity and specificity in traumatic brain injury. *Assessment*, 13, 46-61.
- Daubert, S.D., & Metzler, A.E. (2000). The detection of fake-bad and fake-good responding on the Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory-III. *Psychological Assessment*, 12, 418-424.
- Davalos, D.B., & Bennet, T.L. (2002). A review of the use of single-photon emission computerized tomography as a diagnostic tool in mild traumatic brain injury. *Applied Neuropsychology*, 9, 92-105.
- Denney, R.L., & Wynkoop, T.F. (2000). Clinical Neuropsychology in the criminal forensic setting. *The Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 15, 804-828.
- DiCarlo, M.A., Gfeller, J.D., & Oliveri, M.V. (2000). Effects of Coaching on Detecting Feigned Cognitive Impairment with the Category Test. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 15, 399-413.
- Duncan, A. (2005). The impact of cognitive and psychiatric impairment of psychotic disorders on the Test of Memory Malingering (TOMM). *Assessment*, 12, 123-129.
- Erdal, K. (2004). The effects of motivation, coaching, and knowledge of neuropsychology on the simulated malingering of head injury. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 19, 73-88.
- Esing, S.M., Mittenberg, W., Petersen, R.S., Strauman, S., & Cooper, J.T. (2001). Practices in forensic neuropsychology: Perspectives of neuropsychologists and trial attorneys. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 16, 271-291.
- Etherton, J.L., Bianchini, K.J., Greve, K.W., & Ciota, M.A. (2005). Test of Memory Malingering performance is unaffected by laboratory-induced pain: implications for clinical use. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 20, 375-384.
- Forrest, T.J., Allen, D.N., & Goldstein, G. (2004). Malingering indexes for the Halstead Category Test. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 18, 334-347.
- Frederick, R.I. (2002). A review of Rey's strategies for detecting malingered neuropsychological impairment. *Journal of Forensic Neuropsychology*, 2, 1-25.
- Gavett, B.E., O'Bryant, S.E., Fisher, J.M., & McCaffrey, R.J. (2005). Hit rates of adequate performance based on the Test of Memory Malingering (TOMM) Trial 1. *Applied Neuropsychology*, 12, 1-4.

- Gervais, R.O., Rohling, M.L., Green, P., & Ford, W. (2004). A comparison of WMT, CARB, and TOMM failure rates in non-head injury disability claimants. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 19, 475-487.
- Gervais, R.O., Russell, A.S., Green, P., Allen, L.M., Ferrari, R., & Pieschl, S.D. (2001). Effort testing in fibromyalgia patients with disability incentives. *Journal of Rheumatology*, 28, 1892-1899.
- Gierok, S.D., Dickson, A.L., Cole, J.A. (2005). Performance of forensic and non-forensic adult psychiatric inpatients on the Test of Memory Malingering. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 20, 755-760.
- Goldberg, E., & Podell, K. (2000). Adaptive decision making, ecological validity, and the frontal lobes. *Journal of clinical & experimental neuropsychology*, 22, 56-68.
- Gouvier, W.D., Lees-Haley, P.R., & Hammer, J.H. (2003). The neuropsychological examination in the detection of malingering in the forensic arena: Costs and benefits. In G.P. Prigatano, & N.H. Pliskin (Eds.), *Clinical Neuropsychology and Cost Outcome Research* (pp. 405-424). New York: Taylor and Francis Books, Inc.
- Gowda, N.K., Agrawal, D., Bal, C., Chandrashekhar, N., Tripathi, M., Bandopadhyaya, G.P., Malhotra, A., & Mahapatra, A.K. (2006). Technetium Tc-99m ethyl cysteinate dimer brain single-photon emission CT in mild traumatic brain injury: A prospective study. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, 27, 447-451.
- Green, P., & Iverson, G.L. (2001). Validation of the Computerized Assessment of Response Bias in litigating patients with head injuries. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 15, 492-497.
- Green, P., Rohling, M.L., Lees-Haley, P.R., & Allen, L.M. (2001). Effort has a greater effect on neuropsychological test scores than severe brain injury. *Brain Injury*, 15, 1045-1060.
- Greiffenstein, M.F., & Cohen, L. (2005). Neuropsychology and the Law: Principles of productive attorney-neuropsychologist relations. In Larrabee, G.J. (Ed.), *Forensic Neuropsychology: A scientific approach* (pp. 29-91). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Greub, B.L., & Suhr, J.A. (2006). The validity of the Letter Memory Test as a measure of memory malingering: Robustness to coaching. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 21, 249-254.
- Greve, K.W., & Bianchini, K.J. (2002). Using the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test to detect malingering: An analysis of the specificity of two methods in nonmalingerer normal and patient samples. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 24, 48-54.
- Greve, K.W., & Bianchini, K.J. (2004). Setting empirical cut-offs on psychometric indicators of negative response bias: a methodological commentary with recommendations. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 19, 533-541.
- Greve, K.W., & Bianchini, K.J. (2006). Should the Retention trial of the Tomm of Memory Malingering be optional? *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 21, 117-119.
- Greve, K.W., Bianchini, K.J., & Doane, B.M. (2006). Classification accuracy of the Test of Memory Malingering in traumatic brain injury: Results of a known-groups analysis. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 28, 1176-1190.
- Grote, C. (2005). Ethical practice of Forensic Neuropsychology. In Larrabee, G.J. (Ed.), *Forensic Neuropsychology: A scientific approach* (pp. 93-114). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Grote, C.L., Kooker, E.K., Garron, D.C., Nyenhuis, D.L., Smith, C.A., & Mattingly, M.L. (2000). Performance of compensation seeking and non-compensation seeking samples on the Victoria Symptom Validity Test: Cross-validation and extension of a standardization study. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 22, 709-719.
- Haber, A.H., & Fichtenberg, N.L. (2006). Replication of the Test of Memory Malingering (TOMM) in a traumatic brain injury and head trauma sample. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 20, 524-532.

- Heilbronner, R.L. (2004). A status report on the practice of forensic neuropsychology. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 18, 312-326.
- Hickling, E.J., Blanchard, E.B., Mundy, E., & Galovski, T.E. (2002). Detection of malingered MVA related Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: An investigation of the ability to detect professional actors by experienced clinicians, psychological tests and psychophysiological assessment. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 2, 33-53.
- Hilsabeck, R.C., & Gouvier, W.D. (2005). Detecting simulated memory impairment: Further validation of the Word Completion Memory Test (WCMT). *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 20, 1025-1041.
- Hilsabeck, R. C., LeCompte, D. C., Marks, A. R., & Grafman, J. (2001). The Word Completion Memory Test (WCMT): A new test to detect malingered memory deficits. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 16, 669-677.
- Hofman, P.A.M., Stapert, S.Z., van Kroonenburg, M.J.P.G., Jolles, J., Jelle de Kruijk, & Wilminck, J.T. (2001). MR imaging, single-photon emission CT, and neurocognitive performance after mild traumatic brain injury. *American Society of Neuroradiology*, 22, 441-449.
- Hom, J. (2003). Forensic neuropsychology: are we there yet? *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 18, 827-845.
- Holmquist, L.A., & Wanlass, R.L. (2002). A multidimensional approach towards malingering detection. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 17, 143-156.
- Iacono, W.G. (2000). The detection of deception. In J.C. Cacioppo, L.G. Tassinary, & G.G. Berntson (Eds.), *Handbook of Psychophysiology* (pp. 772-793). Cambridge: University Press.
- Inman, T.H., & Berry, D.T.R. (2002). Cross-validation of indicators of malingering. A comparison of nine neuropsychological tests, four tests of malingering, and behavioral observations. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 17, 1-23.
- Iverson, G.L., & Binder, L.M. (2000). Detecting Exaggeration and Malingering in Neuropsychological Assessment. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 15, 829-858.
- Iverson, G.L., Ropacki, M.T., Allen, L.M., & Elias, J.W. (2001). Analog malingering on the Computerized Assessment of Response Bias (Abstract). *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 16, 850-851.
- Keller, M., Hiltbrunner, B., Dill, C., & Kesselring, J. (2000). Reversible neuropsychological deficits after mild traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 68, 761-764.
- King, N.S. (2003). Post-concussion syndrome: clarity amid the controversy? *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 183, 276-278.
- King, J.H., Sweet, J.J., Sherer, M., Curtiss, G., & Vanderploeg, R.D. (2002). Validity indicators within the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test: Application of new and previously researched multivariate procedures in multiple traumatic brain injury samples. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 16, 506-523.
- Langeluddecke, P.M., & Lucas, S.K. (2003). Quantitative measures of memory malingering on the Wechsler Memory Scale-Third edition in mild head injury litigants. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 18, 181-197.
- Larrabee, G.J. (2000). Forensic neuropsychological assessment. In R.D. Vanderploeg, (Ed.), *Clinician's guide to neuropsychological assessment*, (pp. 301-335). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Larrabee, G.J. (2003). Detection of malingering using atypical performance patterns on standard neuropsychological tests. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 17, 410-425.

- Larrabee, G.J. (2003). Detection of symptom exaggeration with the MMPI-2 in litigants with malingered neurocognitive dysfunction. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 17, 54-68.
- Larrabee, G.J. (2005). Mild traumatic brain injury. In Larrabee, G.J. (Ed.), *Forensic Neuropsychology: A scientific approach* (pp. 209-236). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Larrabee, G.J. (2005). A scientific approach to Forensic Neuropsychology. In Larrabee, G.J. (Ed.), *Forensic Neuropsychology: A scientific approach* (pp. 209-236). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lee, A., Boone, K.B., Lesser, I., Wohl, M., Wilkins, S., & Parks, C. (2000). Performance of older depressed patients on two cognitive malingering tests: Positive rates for the Rey 15-item Memorization and Dot Counting Tests. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 14, 303-308.
- Lee, T.M.C., Liu, H.L., Chan, C.C.H., Ng, Y.B., Fox, P.T., & Gao, J.H. (2005). Neural correlates of feigned memory impairment. *NeuroImage*, 28, 305-313.
- Lewis, J.L., Simcox, A.M., & Berry, D.T.R. (2002). Screening for feigned psychiatric symptoms in a forensic sample by using the MMPI-2 and the Structured Inventory of Malingered Symptomatology. *Psychological Assessment*, 14, 170-176.
- Lezack, M.D., Howieson, D.B., & Loring, D.W. (2004). *Neuropsychological Assessment* (4<sup>th</sup> Edition). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lu, P.H., Boone, K.B., Cozolino, L., & Mitchell, C. (2003). Effectiveness of the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test and the Meyers and Meyers Recognition trial in the detection of suspect effort. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 17, 426-440.
- Lynch, W.J. (2004). Determination of Effort Level, Exaggeration, and Malingering in Neurocognitive Assessment. *The Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 19, 277-283.
- Macciocchi, S.N., Seel, R.T., Alderson, A., & Godsall, R. (2006). Victoria Symptom Validity Test performance in acute severe traumatic brain injury: Implications for test interpretation. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 21, 395-404.
- Martens, M., Donders, J., & Millis, S.R. (2001). Evaluation of invalid response sets after traumatic head injury. *Journal of Forensic Neuropsychology*, 2, 1-18.
- Mateer, C.A. (2000). Attention. In S.A. Raskin & C.A. Mateer (Eds.), *Neuropsychological management of mild traumatic brain injury* (pp. 73-92). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Mateer, C.A., & D'Arcy, R.C.N. (2000). Current concepts and approaches to management. In S.A. Raskin & C.A. Mateer (Eds.), *Neuropsychological management of mild traumatic brain injury* (pp. 3-22). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Merckelbach, H., & Smith, G.P. (2003). Diagnostic accuracy of the Structured Inventory of Malingering Symptomatology (SIMS) in detecting instructed malingering. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 18, 145-152.
- Merten, T., Green, P., Henry, M., Blaskewitz, N., & Brockhaus, R. (2005). Analog validation of German-language symptom validity tests and the influence of coaching. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 20, 719-726.
- Meyers, J.E., Morrison, A.L., & Miller, J.C. (2001). How low is too low, revisited: Sentence repetition and AVLT-Recognition in the detection of malingering. *Applied Neuropsychology*, 8, 234-241.
- Meyers, J.E., & Volbrecht, M.E. (2003). A validation of multiple malingering detection methods in a large clinical sample. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 18, 261-276.
- Miller, A., Donders, J., & Suhr, J. (2000). Evaluation of malingering with the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test : A cross-validation. *Clinical Neuropsychological Assessment*, 2, 141-149.

- Mittenberg, W., Aguila-Puentes, G., Patton, C., Canyock, E.M., & Heilbronner, R.L. (2002). Neuropsychological profiling of symptom exaggeration and malingering. *Journal of Forensic Neuropsychology*, 3, 227-240.
- Mittenberg, W., Patton, C., Canyock, E.M., & Condit, D.C. (2002). Base rates of malingering and symptom exaggeration. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 24, 1094-1102.
- Moore, B.A., & Donders, J. (2004). Predictors of invalid neuropsychological test performance after traumatic brain injury. *Brain Injury*, 18, 975-984.
- Nelson, N.W., Boone, K., Dueck, A., Wagener, L., Lu, P., & Grills, C. (2003). Relationships between eight measures of suspected effort. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 17, 263-272.
- O'Bryant, S.E., Duff, K., Fisher, J., & McCaffrey, R.J. (2004). Performance profiles and cut-off scores on the Memory Assessment Scales. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 19, 489-496.
- O'Bryant, S.E., Hilsabeck, R.C., Fisher, J.M., & McCaffrey, R.J. (2003). Utility of the Trail Making Test in the assessment of malingering in a sample of mild traumatic brain injury litigants. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 17, 69-74.
- Pelegrín-Valero, C., Fernández-Guinea, S., Tirapu-Ustarroz, J., & Muñoz-Céspedes, J.M. (2001). Diagnóstico diferencial del síndrome posconmocional. *Revista de Neurología*, 32, 867-884.
- Pelfrey, W.V. (2004). The relationship between malingers' intelligence and MMPI-2 knowledge and their ability to avoid detection. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 48, 649-663.
- Powell, M.R., Gfeller, J.D., Hendricks, B.L., & Sharland, M. (2004). Detecting symptom- and test-coached simulators with the Test of Memory Malingering. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 19, 693-702.
- Puente, A., Pérez, M. (2000). Neuropsychological assessment of ethnic minorities: Clinical issues. In I. Cuellar, & F.A. Paniagua. *Handbook of multicultural mental health* (pp. 419-435).
- Puente, A., Pérez M. (2000). Psychological assessment of ethnic minorities. In G. Goldstein, & M. Hersen. *Handbook of psychological assessment* (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.) (pp. 527-551).
- Ramírez, R.M., Chirivella-Garrido, J., Caballero, M.C., Ferri-Campos, J., & Noé-Sebastián, E. (2004). Inteligencia, memoria y simulación: correlaciones entre instrumentos de medida. *Revista de Neurología*, 38, 28-33.
- Raskin, S.A. (2000). Executive functions. In S.A. Raskin & C.A. Mateer (Eds.), *Neuropsychological management of mild traumatic brain injury* (pp. 113-133). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Rees, L.M., Tombaugh, T.N., & Boulay, L. (2001). Depression and the Test of Memory Malingering. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 16, 501-506.
- Rezneck, L. (2005). The Rey 15-item memory test for malingering: A meta-analysis. *Brain Injury*, 19, 539-543.
- Richardson, J.T.E. (2000). *Clinical and neuropsychological aspects of closed head injury* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed). Hove: Psychology Press.
- Rogers, R., & Bender, S.D. (2003). Evaluation of malingering and deception. In A.M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Handbook of Psychology, Volume 11* (pp. 109-129). New York: CUNY.
- Rohling, M.L., Green, P., Allen, L., & Iverson, G.L. (2002). Depressive symptoms and neurocognitive test scores in patients passing symptom validity tests. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 17, 205-222.
- Ross, S.R., Krukowski, R.A., Putnam, S.H., & Adams, K.M. (2003). The Memory Assessment Scales in the detection of incomplete effort in mild head injury. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 17, 581-591.

- Sbordone, R.J., & Saul, R.E (Eds.), *Neuropsychology for health care professionals and attorneys* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Boca Raton, Fla.: St. Lucie Press (2000).
- Schoenberg, M.R., Dorr, D., & Morgan, C. (2003). The ability of the Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory-Third Edition to detect malingering. *Psychological Assessment, 15*, 198-204.
- Sedo, M.A. (2004). "5 digit test": a multilingual non-reading alternative to the Stroop test. *Revista de Neurología, 38*, 824-828.
- Seisdedos, N. (2000). *Cambios. Test de flexibilidad cognitiva*. Madrid: TEA.
- Slick, D.J., Tan, J.E., Strauss, E., Mateer, C.A., Harnadek, & Sherman, E.M.S. (2003). Victoria Symptom Validity Test scores of patients with profound memory impairment: NonLitigant case studies. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist, 17*, 390-394.
- Strauss, E., Hultsch, D.F., Hunter, M., Slick, D.J., Patry, B., & Levy-Bencheton, J. (2000). Using intraindividual variability to detect malingering in cognitive performance. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist, 14*, 420-432.
- Strauss, E., Slick, D.J., Levy-Bencheton, J., Hunter, M., MacDonald, S.W.S., & Hultsch, D.F. (2002). Intraindividual variability as an indicator of malingering in head injury. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 17*, 423-444.
- Surh, J.A. (2002). Malingering, coaching, and the serial position effect. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 17*, 69-77.
- Surh, J.A., & Gunstad, J. (2000). The effects of coaching on the sensitivity and specificity of malingering measures. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 15*, 415-424.
- Sweet, J.J., King, F.H., Malina, A.C., Bergman, M.A., & Simmons, A. (2002). Documenting the prominence of forensic neuropsychology at national meetings and in relevant professional journals from 1990 to 2000. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist, 16*, 481-494.
- Sweet, J.J., Moberg, P.J., & Suchi, Y. (2000). Ten-Year follow-up survey of clinical neuropsychologists: Part I: Practices and Beliefs. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist, 14*, 18-37.
- Sweet, J.J., Peck, E.A., Abramowitz, C., & Etzweiler, S. (2002). National Academy of Neuropsychology/Division 40 of the American Psychological Association practice survey of clinical neuropsychology in the United States, Part I: Practitioner and practice characteristics, professional activities, and time requirements. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist, 16*, 109-127.
- Sweet, J.J., Wolfe, P., Sattlberger, E., Numan, B., Rosenfeld, J.P., Clingerman, S., & Nies, K.J. (2000). Further Investigation of Traumatic Brain Injury Versus Insufficient Effort with the California Verbal Learning Test. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 15*, 105-113.
- Tan, J.E., Slick, D.J., Strauss, E., & Hultsch, D.F. (2002). How'd they do it? Malingering strategies on Symptom Validity Tests. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist, 16*, 495-505.
- Tardiff, H.P., Barry, R.J., Fox, A.M., & Johnstone, S.J. (2000). Detection of feigned recognition memory impairment using the old new effect of the event-related potential. *International Journal of Psychophysiology, 36*, 1-9.
- Tardiff, H.P., Barry, R.J., & Johnstone, S.J. (2002). Event-related potentials reveal processing differences in honest vs. malingered memory performance. *International Journal of Psychophysiology, 46*, 147-158.
- Taylor, L.A., Kreutzer, J.S., & West, D.D. (2003). Evaluation of malingering cut-off scores for the Rey 15-Item Test: a brain injury case study series. *Brain Injury, 4*, 295-308.
- Teichner, G., & Wagner, M.T. (2004). The Test of Memory Malingering (TOMM): normative data from cognitively intact, cognitively impaired, and elderly patients with dementia. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 19*, 455-464.

- Thompson, G.B. (2002). The Victoria Symptom Validity Test: An enhanced test of symptom validity. *Journal of Forensic Neuropsychology*, 2, 43-67.
- Verdejo-García, A., Alcázar, M.A., Gómez-Jarabo, G., & Pérez-García, M. (2004). Pautas para el desarrollo científico y profesional de la neuropsicología forense. *Revista de neurología*, 39, 60-73.
- Vickery, C.D., Berry, D.T.R., Dearth, C.S., Vagnini, V.L., Baser, R.E., Cragar, D.E., & Orey, S.A. (2004). Head injury and the ability to feign neuropsychological deficits. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 19, 37-48.
- Vickery, C.D., Berry, D.T.R., Inman, T.H., Harris, M.J., & Orey, S.A. (2001). Detection of inadequate effort on neuropsychological testing: A meta-analytic review of selected procedures. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 16, 45-73.
- Vos, P.E., Battistin, L., Birbamer, G., Gerstenbrand, F., Potapov, A., Prevec, T. et al. (2002). EFNS guideline in mild traumatic brain injury : report of an EFNS task force. *European Journal of Neurology*, 9, 207-219.
- Wang, Y., Chan, R.C.K., & Deng, Y. (2006). Examination of postconcussion-like symptoms in healthy university students: Relationships to subjective and objective neuropsychological function performance. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 21, 339-347.
- Willison, J., & Tombaugh, T.N. (2006). Detecting simulation of attention deficits using reaction times tests. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 21, 41-52.
- Wynkoop, T.F., & Denney, R.L. (2001). Computerized Assessment of Response Bias (CARB), Word Memory Test (WMT), and Memory Complaints Inventory (MCI). *Journal of Forensic Neuropsychology*, 2, 71-77.
- Yanez, Y.T., Fremouw, W., Tennant, J., Strunk, J., & Coker, K. (2006). Effects of severe depression on TOMM performance among disability-seeking outpatients. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 21, 161-165.
- Zakzanis, K.K. (2001). Statistics to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 16, 653-667.

